

From: Rand Fanshier <rand@fanshier.com>  
To: wtc@nist.gov  
Cc: dlowe@nist.gov  
Subject: WTC Draft Final Report Comment Form for Report: NCSTAR1-3

Information Submitted on: 7/15/2005.

Name : Rand Fanshier  
Affiliation : libertyforum.org  
Email Address : rand@fanshier.com  
Phone : 303-670-8563  
Report Number : NCSTAR1-3  
Page Number : General  
Paragraph : General  
Comment : No physical evidence to support the official hypothesis for initiation of collapse.

Link to my digest of report 3 here:

<http://www.libertyforum.org/showflat.php?Number=293727256>

Comment Reason : I'd like to see some proof about why the towers fell as they did.

What's interesting here is that NIST could have faked it, if they wanted to. But they didn't. It's clear to me that the actual scientists and engineers there were simply not willing to lie.

All they did was to describe the testing they performed on the limited evidence they found, and left it at that. The entire metallurgical analysis report I read came to no conclusions at all as to cause of initiation of collapse!

Revision Suggestion : The official explanation, including the entirety of the NIST report of the (three!) global collapses in no manner considers nor addresses (they aren't discussed at all) the following contradictions of conclusions in the twin tower collapses:

- A) Time to the ground less than 13 seconds
- B) Enormous size of pyroclastic cloud
- C) Zero Core columns tested had been above 250 degrees C
- D) Buildings didn't topple over, dropped straight down.

I would like these urgent matters addressed.

2005 WTC Report Comment Application 1.0, dlowe@nist.gov, rev. 6/21/2005